

Headphones with integrated microphones.

The invention relates to a sound reproducing system comprising headphones with sound generating means and means to control the sound signal generated by said headphone sound generating means to simulate external sound sources.

5 The invention also relates to a headphone for a sound reproducing system.

Headphones are used in and for audio equipment such as (mobile) CD-players, but also in call-in centres.

10 The headphones comprise a means for generating sound (usually a small loudspeaker). A recorded sound signal (voice or music) is sent to the headphone(s) and sound generators inside the headphone generate a sound. The listener will, however, perceive the generated sound as being generated inside or very near the head (which in fact it is) unless the sound signal is adapted. Such a sound is perceived to be unnatural. It is known to process the signals such that the perception of the sound signal by the listener is such that he/she believes
15 to hear external sound sources i.e. perceive a more natural sound. To achieve this the signals are processed through a filter which filter is set to alter the characteristics of the signal such that the sound generated near or within the head simulates an (or more than one) external sound source(s). An important aspect in this respect is the transfer characteristics of sound by
20 an external source to the head and pinnae itself, the so-called Head Related Transfer Function (HRTF), i.e. the manner in which sound becomes attenuated and altered by the head and pinnae itself before it actually is heard. Attempts to process the signals taking into account the HRTF to obtain external source simulation are known from J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 85(2), pages 858-878, F.L. Wightman and D. Kistler, Feb. 1989: 'Headphone simulation of free-field
25 listening I and II'.

Such attempts however do not always prove to be successful. The HRTF are dependent on the actual shape and form of the head and the ear and differ substantially from one person to another. Furthermore head movements complicate matters as they also influence the sound perception. It has been known from for instance Japanese patent application JP

08/079900 A to provide the headphones with measuring devices to measure the distance between the ears, the height of the head and head movements. Although such measurements can be used to improve the sound reproduction the results leave room for improvement. The HTRF is a strongly individual one which can only be approximately determined using the result of such measurement. Likewise the effect of head movements can only be approximately determined.

It is an object of the invention to provide a sound system as described in the opening paragraph with improved sound reproduction.

To this end the system is characterized in that the headphones are provided with microphones, and the means to control, comprise or are coupled to means to regulate the sound production by the headphone sound generating means such that a signal registered by the microphones is substantially zero when at least one external sound source is operative in response to a signal and means to record the results of said regulating to influence external source simulating sound generation in the headphones and/or means to regulate the sound production by the headphone sound generating means such that the difference between a signal registered by the microphones and a known signal is substantially zero and means to record the results of said regulation to influence external sound simulating sound generation in the headphones.

Each headphone is provided with a microphone. Said microphone which is located near or preferably in the ear registers the sound generated by the headphone sound generating means as well as in one aspect of the invention by the at least one external source. The system comprises means to regulate the sound production by the headphone sound generating means such that the microphone registers a substantially zero signal when simultaneously at least one external source in response to a signal and the headphone sound generating means are active. The headphone then generates a, as far as the human perception is concerned, same auditive signal but of opposite sign as the external source(s). The system has means to record the results of the regulation. Thereafter, when the external source(s) (is) are shut off, or removed altogether, the sound perceived by the listener is the same as that for the external sources. The signal registered by the microphone will be equivalent to that when only the source would be operative. The relation between a signal sent to the source such as a loudspeaker and the signal sent to the headphone sound generating means to simulate such an

external source is then known. The data from the above mentioned regulation are used for regulation of the sound signal to the headphones in such manner that the external source is simulated.

5 The relation between
a signal sent to an external source
a signal to the headphone sound generating means and
a microphone signal
are thus measured. Such measurement does, however, not only give the relation between
10 signals a (external source signal) and b (equivalent headphone signal), but also between
signals b (headphone signal) and c (microphone signal) and signals a (external source signal)
and c (microphone signal). These known relations can also or separately be used in another
aspect of the invention as follows.

15 Once for a 'standard head' or in fact for any head the relations between signals
a, b and c have been established, it is not in all circumstances, i.e. for other heads necessary to
make further use external source with signal a. It suffices to know (and this is known) the
microphone signal c corresponding to a particular external source signal a to regulate
headphone signal b if needed. When the headphone sound generating means 'truly' (signal b)
20 simulate an external source (signal a) a particular microphone signal (signal c) should be
registered. This is the case on the 'standard head'. However, when the headphone is put on
another head the HRTF will be different and the same signal b sent to the headphone sound
generating means will generate a microphone signal c' different from said particular
microphone signal c because of the different HRTF. The system has means to regulate the
25 signal b sent to the headphone sound generating means (to b') in such manner that signal c' is
equal to signal c, record the regulation data and use the regulation data for further sound
production to simulate external source(s).

It is remarked that whereas in embodiments the headphone sound generating
means and the microphone will be often separate elements, in some embodiments the
30 headphon sound generating means (headphone loudspeakers) may double in function as the
microphone, especially when such headphone sound generating means are placed inside the
ear channel.

Preferably the system also comprises means to store the regulation data for a
specific person.

This enables regulation data to be kept and coupled to a specific user. The next time said user uses the system an incoming signal is filtered in the 'right' or at least 'nearly right' manner.

These and other objects of the invention will be apparent from and elucidated with reference to the embodiments described hereinafter.

In the drawings:

Fig. 1 illustrates schematically how to generate from two real sound sources a third so-called phantom source.

Fig. 2 illustrates schematically a system in accordance with the invention.

Fig. 3 illustrates schematically a further embodiment of a system in accordance with the invention.

Fig. 4 illustrates yet a further embodiment of a system in accordance with the invention.

Fig. 5 illustrates a still further embodiment of a system in accordance with the invention.

Fig. 6 illustrates another aspect of the invention

Figs. 7A to 7E illustrate several embodiments of a headphone for a system in accordance with the invention.

Fig. 8 illustrates schematically how the headphone sound generating means may be also the microphone.

25

The figures are schematic and not drawn on scale.

Figure 1 shows a head of a person 1 with two ears 2 and 3. Two real loudspeakers LS_l (loudspeaker-left) and LS_r (loudspeaker-right) are present in a room. With these loudspeakers it is meant to generate a sound as if a sound signal V_r is generated by a loudspeaker LS_p at some other point in space.

To calculate which signals have to be generated by the real loud speakers LS_l and LS_r to give the person 1 the impression that the sound he hears is generated by a

(phantom) sound source LS_p generating a signal X the signal X has to be altered i.e. filtered by filter function W_{XL} (1 for left) for loudspeaker LS_l and by W_{XR} for loudspeaker LS_R .

Thus the signal emitted by loudspeaker LS_l is XW_{XL} , the signal generated by

5 LS_R is XW_{XR} .

A signal generated by a sound source be it real or phantom causes (for real sources) or is supposed to cause (for phantom sources) at an ear a pressure equivalent to the signal multiplied by a transfer function. The transfer function W_{ll} (left loud-speaker to left ear), W_{lr} (left loud speaker to right ear), W_{rl} (right loud-speaker to left ear), W_{rr} (right loud speaker to right ear), W_{pl} (phantom loud speaker to left ear) and W_{pr} (phantom loud speaker to right ear) are indicated in the figure.

The sound pressure P_l at the left ear caused by loud speakers LS_l and LS_r is the sum of the sound pressure XW_{XL} (signal to left loudspeaker)* W_{ll} (transfer function left loudspeaker to left ear) + XW_{XR} (signal to right loudspeaker)* W_{rl} (transfer function right loud speaker to right ear). Thus

$$P_l = X(W_{XL}W_{ll} + W_{XR}W_{rl})$$

Likewise the sound pressure P_r at the right ear equals

$$P_r = X(W_{XL}W_{lr} + W_{XR}W_{rr})$$

25 The sound pressure which would be caused by the phantom loudspeaker is

$$\text{(left ear)} \quad P'_l = XW_{pl}$$

$$\text{(right ear)} \quad P'_r = XW_{pr}$$

30 Substituting $P_l = P'_l$ and $P_r = P'_r$

Leads to

$$W_{XL} = (W_{pl}W_{ll} - W_{pr}W_{rl}) / (W_{ll}W_{rr} - W_{lr}W_{rl})$$

$$W_{XR} = (W_{pl}W_{lr} - W_{pr}W_{rl}) / (W_{lr}W_{rl} - W_{ll}W_{rr})$$

The filter functions which in this simplified model have been described actually
 5 have to be determined for each frequency thus actually for each frequency a filter function
 W_{XR} and W_{XL} has to be determined and fixed and used. With the proper filter functions W_{XR}
 and W_{XL} , the listener hears the 'phantom source' LS_p . Thus with two loud-speakers a
 'phantom' sound source at a sound can be generated which, to the listener seems to come from
 another location than the actual location of the loud speakers LS_L and LS_R . This perception is
 10 dependent on the accuracy of the transfer functions (in this application sometimes also called
 'filters' or 'filter settings') W_{XL} and W_{XR}

The filters W_{XL} and W_{XR} are difficult to determine because the transfer
 functions W_{ll} , W_{lr} , W_{rr} and W_{rl} from the loudspeakers LS_L and LS_R to the ear are difficult to
 15 determine. The transfer function for the real loudspeakers to some extent can be calculated and
 /or measured for a 'standard head', but in reality each head and each headphone is different
 and thus a transfer function is always more or less appropriate but never really good. The
 transfer functions for the phantom source can only be estimated or theoretically derived.
 Especially for the higher frequencies, the transfer functions are difficult to determine because
 20 of the shape of the head and the ear canal. In short the Head Related Transfer function, HRTF,
 is a highly individual one.

The transfer function needs to be calculated and the calculation introduces
 errors.

For each frequency the transfer function has to be determined, which either
 25 requires a large calculation effort and such calculation in itself may be a source of error or
 necessitates the use of average transfer functions for a band of frequencies, which also
 introduces errors.

All transfer functions are to some extent dependent not just on the relative
 positions of the sound sources (real or phantom) and the ears, but also on other factors, such as
 30 objects near the sources or ears which may reflect or alter the sound waves and thus influence
 the transfer functions.

Thus there is a need to improve the sound reproduction.

09735513, 121000

Figure 2 illustrates a preferred embodiment of a system in accordance with the invention.

The system comprises two headphones each of which is provided with a microphone 6, 7. Each of the headphones has sound generating means 4, 5. A signal $x(k)$ is relayed to the means 4, 5 through filter means (i.e. modulation means) 8, 9 having filter setting $W_{XL}(k)$ and $W_{XR}(k)$. In previous systems the filters 8, 9 were fixed filters (as in figure 1) and thus the settings $W_{XL}(k)$ and $W_{XR}(k)$ were fixed. These fixed filters were usually set to be equivalent to an 'average head' in an 'average room'. The signals after the filters are indicated with $\hat{e}_l(k)$ and $\hat{e}_r(k)$. The signals are $\hat{e}_l(k) = x(k) * W_{XL}(k)$ and $\hat{e}_r(k) = x(k) * W_{XR}(k)$. In the system in accordance with the invention microphones 6 and 7 are present in or near the headphones and generate a signal $r_l(k)$ and $r_r(k)$. The signals $r_l(k)$ and $r_r(k)$ are due to the sum of the sound generated by the external source and the headphone. These signals $r_l(k)$ and $r_r(k)$ are fed to comparison and regulation means 10 which also have an input for signal $x(k)$ and an output to filter means 8, 9 to adapt or regulate settings $W_{XL}(k)$ and $W_{XR}(k)$. It will be noticed that in figure 2 only the transfer functions W_{ll} and W_{rr} are shown. This will be explained below.

A signal $x(k)$ is supplied to the sound source PL and signals $\hat{e}_l(k)$ and $\hat{e}_r(k)$ are supplied to the sound generating means 4 and 5. The signals $r_l(k)$ and $r_r(k)$ are fed to the regulating means 10. This regulating means influences the settings of the filters $W_{XL}(k)$ and $W_{XR}(k)$ (and thereby the signals $\hat{e}_l(k) = x(k) * W_{XL}(k)$ and $\hat{e}_r(k) = x(k) * W_{XR}(k)$) until the microphone signals $r_l(k)$ and $r_r(k)$ (and this preferably for each or for a chosen set or selection of frequencies) become substantially zero. This may be done by a step-wise manner, i.e. one or more parameters (one or more of the settings $W_{XL}(k)$ or $W_{XR}(k)$) is (are) changed, it is then checked whether the signal $r_l(k)$ is increased or decreased, if it is increased, the parameter(s) is (are) changed in the opposite sense, if it is decreased, the parameter(s) is (are) changed in the same sense. This process is repeated until the signals $r_l(k)$ and $r_r(k)$ are substantially zero. For more details of such methods reference is made to e.g. 'Adaptive Filter Theory' by Simon Haykin, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, ISBN 0-13-322760-X. It is remarked that in general the less parameters have to be taken into account in such methods, the better the result is and the faster the result can be achieved. When the microphone signal $r_r(k)$ and $r_l(k)$ are substantially zero the listener hears nothing. The resulting values for filter settings $W_{XL}(k)$ and $W_{XR}(k)$ are thereby determined. These filter settings can be for instance tables in a computer data base. When the source PL is shut off or removed, the listener will hear a sound which by the listener is perceived to come from said source PL. Thus the listener hears a 'phantom

source' at the position of source PL. If the system is to be used for one person only, such tables could be the only one to use, but preferably the system comprises a means (schematically indicated by input I in figure 2) to store established settings $W_{XL}(k)$ and $W_{XR}(k)$ for the filters 8, 9 and pair the settings to data identifying the person. The next time the same person uses the system the filter will then be set right or at least nearly right for said person provided information identifying the person is given to the system. In practice tables are for instance stored in a computer data base paired with a name or number identifying the person.

Compared to previous methods and devices the results are better and much more reliable, a much more 'natural' sounding and better 'localized' phantom source is heard by the listener. An advantage over fixed filters is that W_{XL} and W_{XR} can easily, faster and with much greater accuracy be determined and be adapted for different locations and for different persons. For instance if head transfer functions are calculated with fixed filters often parameters such as an average height and width of an average head are used, such parameters are sometimes useless or may even give clearly wrong results if the person in question carries some head ware such as a hat or for instance has a size head substantially different from the average head. Even the height of the hair may be of importance in this respect. Furthermore more parameters than inter ear distance and head height may be of importance for the HRTF. The present invention does not suffer from these shortcomings but gives reliable results for each person, irrespective of the size and shape of the head and or ear and/or whether said person wears a hat because all these factors do not play a role due to the microphone. Furthermore the cross transfer functions (W_{rl} and W_{lr}) are, due to the nearness of the source 4, 5 to the ear 2, 3, negligible or in any case very small. This enables in preferred embodiments, as e.g. shown in figure 2, to further greatly simplify the calculation, thus removing a source of error. In formula form it holds

$$W_{XL}=(W_{pl})/(W_{ll})$$

$$W_{XR}=(W_{pr})/(W_{rr})$$

These formulae are much simplified compared to formulae for phantom sound generation using loudspeakers with fixed filters. For each ear the filter functions are only dependent on two, not six, transfer functions. In fact the determinations of the filter settings W_{XR} and W_{XL} are independent. The measurement at the left (right) ear suffices to determine

$W_{XL}(k)$ ($W_{XR}(k)$). This enables faster (less response time) and much better determination of W_{XL} and W_{XR} . Furthermore the response of the acoustic paths of the headphones is very short (thus further shortening response time). Furthermore extraneous influences such as the shape of a room and objects in a room on the transfer functions W_{ll} , W_{rr} (and W_{rl} , W_{lr}) is not present in headphone sound reproduction. As a consequence when tests were done with a system as schematically shown in figure 2 to see what the perceived difference would be between the real loudspeaker and a phantom loudspeaker, the location of the phantom loudspeaker was correct for both an anechoic room (a room in which sound reflection is reduced to a minimum) and a listening room (a room with normal sound reflection). These results were much better than for known systems using fixed filters. As an alternative (and this may be in particular of importance for source at a relatively large distance) instead of working with a signal coming from each microphone, the sum ($r_l(k)+r_r(k)$) and difference ($r_l(k)-r_r(k)$) of these two signals could also be used. If the sum and the difference are zero, both signals are zero. Usually W_{ll} and W_{rr} are nearly equal (symmetric), and at large distances from the source W_{pl} and W_{pr} are also not to much different. These facts are preferably used to simplify the calculations. It is remarked that in figure 2 the different filter means (8, 9) and regulation means (10) are drawn separately to increase clarity. They may, and preferably are all integrated in one device. In certain circumstances, for instance a nearly symmetrically arranged fixed position of the source only one microphone could be used. The data of said one microphone would then suffice.

Figure 3 illustrates a further embodiment of a system in accordance with the invention. Two loudspeakers PL_1 and PL_2 are used. For both loudspeakers the transfer functions W_{XL} and W_{XR} can be determined in the manner as described above. This can be done in the following manner. First loudspeaker PL_1 is activated and microphone signals are made zero. The filter settings $W_{XL}(k)$ and $W_{XR}(k)$ for said loudspeaker are determined. Thereafter loudspeaker PL_1 is deactivated and loudspeaker PL_2 is activated to determine filter settings $W'_{XL}(k)$ and $W'_{XR}(k)$ for loudspeaker PL_2 . The filter functions for both loud speakers having been determined, the system is capable of reproducing any mix of the two sound sources PL_1 and PL_2 with a very natural sound, i.e. stereo sound.

For a signal $x(k)$ sent to loudspeaker PL_1 and simultaneously a signal $y(k)$ sent to loudspeaker PL_2 the signals to the headphone sound generating means are:

$$\hat{e}_l(k) = x(k) * W_{XL}(k) + y(k) * W'_{XL}(k) \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{e}_r(k) = x(k) * W_{XR}(k) + y(k) * W'_{XR}(k)$$

When more than two sources are to be simulated the signals to the more than two sources could for instance be written as a vector and the filter settings for the different sources could be written in matrix form. Multiplication of the vector (for the sources) with the matrix (for the settings) will generate the signals $\hat{e}_l(k)$ and $\hat{e}_r(k)$. The matrix itself is

5 determined by measurements and may be different for different persons and different rooms.

A further embodiment of the system in accordance with the invention is shown in figure 4. Having established the transfer functions W_{XL} and W_{XR} respectively W'_{XL} and W'_{XR} for two loudspeakers PL_1 and PL_2 , this knowledge can be used to 'create' using for instance geometrical principles more phantom sound sources, for instance phantom

10 loudspeakers PL_3 and PL_4 . Using for instance thereafter the above technique of vector-matrix multiplication a 'surround sound' may be created. The problem with trying to do so using fixed filters lies, as already explained amongst others in the very individual Head Related Transfer Functions and also from local circumstances such as reverberation in a room. Starting from two known sources one can using geometry and/or standard techniques calculate the
15 transfer function for the phantom sources PL_3 and PL_4 in so far as geometry is concerned but not or much less the other influences. In a system in accordance with the invention said difficulty is resolved for the main part, since use is made of actual measurements on an actual head with actual headphones (thus taking into account the relevant HRTF) and in an actual room (thus at least partly taking into account the reverberation in the room) resulting in
20 transfer functions which take these influences in account giving much better rendition of phantom sources.

A yet further embodiment is shown in figure 5. The headphones (or at least one of them, or the connection between the headphones) comprise means to measure the position in regards of the two sources PL_1 and PL_2 and/or some fixed reference point. Such means can
25 be for instance infra-red sources which are sensed by sensors in or near the sources PL_1 and PL_2 or infra red sources in or near PL_1 and PL_2 which are sensed by sensors in the headphones. Such means may also comprise means generating and sensing ultra-sound. In this example the two 'real' loudspeakers are positioned at either side of a television set 51. Near or at at least one headphone an emitter of a signal or sensor for localization signals is present and an
30 stationary part of the system comprises a sensor or emitter for localization signals.

As explained before the transfer functions are determined using the microphones 6 and 7 and the two sources PL_1 and PL_2 are turned off they are then audible in the headphones as 'phantom sources'. The transfer functions to simulate these two external sources PL_1 and PL_2 then include the individual HRTF and room related factors. Knowing the

position of the head and the filter, using geometric considerations one or more phantom sources PL_3 and PL_4 can be created or alternatively or in addition the system may comprise tables with many transfer functions for many different positions of the listener vis-a-vis the sources. As the listener moves in the room, the position of the head vis-a-vis the sources PL_1 and PL_2 is regularly measured and used to create phantom sources PL_1 to PL_4 at the right places. The 'proper' filter functions may then be established either by for instance choosing a filter setting table associated with a position most nearest to the actual position or taking some average (for instance by interpolation) of several filter settings corresponding to several positions close to the actual position. In establishing the 'proper filter functions' for real or phantom sources use may be made of the fact that human ear is much more perceptible to sound coming from positions in front of the head, then to the back of the head, in other words, to create a 'surround sound' it is not necessary to have an number of sources equally distributed around the listener, the number of sources to the back of the head may be less.

The examples given so far all start with determining filter functions W_{XL} and W_{XR} for one or more loudspeakers (or channels) phantom or real by regulating the signal $\hat{e}_l(k)$, $\hat{e}_r(k)$ sent to the head phone sound generating means 4, 5 such that the signal $r_l(k)$, $r_r(k)$ measured by the microphone(s) is substantially zero when a signal $x(k)$ is sent to a source PL_1 , PL_2 and extracting filter setting data $W_{XR}(k)$, $W_{XL}(k)$ from said measurement.

Figure 6 illustrates a different aspect of the invention. In this particular aspect an external source has been used to find the filter settings W_{XL} and W_{XR} for a particular head, which for simplicity will be called a 'standard head'. These filter settings are, however, as explained dependent on the very individual HRTF. For other persons, these settings may not be correct. As explained above one way of overcoming this problem is to measure the filter functions for any individual person and store the filter function setting coupled with data identifying said person. However, although such procedure gives excellent results, this is a rather complicated procedure. In an aspect of the invention a different route is followed. When the filter settings for a 'standard head' are correct (i.e. the microphone signal due to the sum of the sound of an external source and the microphone sound generating means due to a signal $x(k)$ is zero), the external source is shut off, and a microphone signal $r''(k)$ due to signal sent to the headphone sound generating means is measured (or alternatively the headphone sound generating means are shut off and the microphone signal due to the external source is measured). Data corresponding to the signal $r''(k)$ are stored in the system. When another person puts on the headphones the very same signal $x(k)$ will generate with the same filter setting the same signal $\hat{e}_l(k)$ sent to the headphone sound generating means 4, but a

microphone signal $r'(k)$ which differs, due to a difference in HRTF, from the stored signal $r''(k)$. In figure 7 it is schematically illustrated that the system in this aspect of the invention comprises means to compare the signal $r'(k)$ to the signal $r''(k)$ and means 10 for changing the filter settings $W_{XL}(k)$ and $W_{XR}(k)$ (the latter not being shown for simplicity) such that a comparison between a signal registered by the microphones ($r'(k)$) and a known or calculated signal ($r''(k)$, $r'''(k)$, $r''''(k)$) show said two signals to be substantially the same. A comparison of the signals or data representing the signal $r'(k)$ and $r''(k)$ then show that the signal are substantially the same. Such comparison can be done in different ways. The most simplest is to store data for $r''(k)$ and to calculate the sum or difference (depending on the sign of the stored data) of the data for $r'(k)$ and $r''(k)$. These data may directly represent the signal $r'(k)$ and $r''(k)$ or be some data derived from the signals, such derivation being done to reduce the data needed for comparison. For instance the signals $r'(k)$ and $r''(k)$ may be converted into Fourier space and comparison may be done in Fourier space. The filter settings are then recorded (for instance in means 8, 9 or 10, but they could also be recorded in some other means) and they are used for further sound production to simulate an or more external source(s). It is remarked that, apart from the shape and size of the head, also other factors may be of importance for instance the acoustics (reverberations for instance) of the site at which the sound was generated. In figure 6 $r''(k)$ may for instance correspond to sound reproduction in a concert hall, $r'''(k)$ to sound reproduction in a stadium, and $r''''(k)$ to sound reproduction in a small room (chamber or club). The user of the system may choose such settings, to its liking. In this example the comparison signal $r''(k)$ etc. are fixed signals corresponding with fixed situations. In a more sophisticated system the comparison signal could be more freely chosen, for instance by giving the user the opportunity to change the size and acoustic characteristics of the virtual site or the position of the listener within the site. The basic idea is that the signal $r'(k)$ (and such for each channel) is compared to a stored or computer generated signal (be it $r''(k)$, $r'''(k)$, $r''''(k)$) and that the two signals are made substantially the same by changing the filter settings $W_{XR}(k)$, $W_{XL}(k)$.

Figures 7A to 7E illustrate several embodiments of a headphone for a system in accordance with the invention.

In figure 7A near the microphone 6 of headphone 11 a tube 12 is provided to be stuck in the inner ear. In this embodiment in which the headphone 11 has a shell-like construction with the sound generating means inside the shell, it is preferred that the microphone registers the sound in the inner ear near the eardrum. For that purpose the tubes 12, as sound guides, are provided. In figure 7B the headphone is placed inside the ear and the

microphone 6 near or in the inner ear. In figure 7C the headphone 11 and microphone 6 are separate devices but both placed in or near the ear. The outputs signal of the sound generating means are led to a jack 72, the output signal of the microphone to a separate jack 71. In figure 7^E both output signals are led to a single jack 73 which has two separate ports 75 and 76 through which the signals may be transferred to a part of the system. This embodiment is the most preferred embodiment, because one single jack is necessary. The part of the sound system in which the jack will be inserted may be provided with means to pick up the signals. Such a jack can be a standard jack, but for the extra output, likewise the part of the sound system in which the jack will be inserted may be standard, but for the possibility of registering the signal from the microphone. This enables 'standard' equipment, at least as far as the user is concerned to be used. The sound system will be able to operate with 'normal headphone' (in which case there will be no microphone signal, but will be able to register whether or not a headphone in accordance with a system of the invention is used, and if so, operate in accordance with the invention.

Figure 7D illustrates that the signal ($r_l(k)$, $r_r(k)$ or any combination of derivative of or data representing said signals) from the microphone can be relayed wireless as well as by a separate plug.

It will be clear that within the framework of the invention many variations are possible.

For instance in the above given examples the microphone is shown as an element separate from the other elements. In embodiments the headphone sound generating means themselves may be used as microphone. Figure 8 illustrates very schematically how this can be done. Headphone sound generating means 81 comprises or are coupled to or with a means 82 to drive a membrane 83 to generate sounds. Said system is supplied with a signal I_{in} via an input 84. The headphone sound generating means also comprise means 85 (which may have some, most or even all building elements common to means 82) with an output 86 which generate a signal I_{out} corresponding to the movement of the membrane. A means 87 for regulating the signal I_{in} has an input for signal I_{out} and regulates I_{in} such that I_{out} becomes substantially zero when an external source generates a sound I . In those circumstances the sound pressure at the position of the membrane is zero, thus it is silent. Preferably, for these embodiments, i.e. for the embodiments wherein the headphone sound generating means double in function as microphones, the headphone sound generating means are in operation located inside the ear.

A sound reproduction system comprises headphones (11). Said headphones

10 means (8,9). The signal can be used by making it zero (when an external source is used)
 $(r_1(k)=0$, see figure 3) or by comparing the microphone signal and a gauge signal zero ($r''(k)-$
 $r'(k)=0$, see figure 6) such that the two are substantially the same.

environments such as airports, to cancel noise. In some of such systems a microphone inside the headphone is used. The headphone sound generating means make a counter noise to cut out or at least reduce strongly all noise within a certain frequency bandwidth. The idea behind such systems is that by eliminating the usually low frequency noise, the noise to signal ratio between the noise and the usually more high frequency communication sounds signals is increased. Such systems, however, do not simulate external sources nor are the microphone signals used to set filter settings.